

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/666,255	09/22/2003	Masaharu Yokono	242294US2	9511		
22850	7590 09/12/200	EXAM	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			BEATTY, I	BEATTY, ROBERT B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2852			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/12/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

1	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/666,255	YOKONO, MASAHARU	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert Beatty	2852	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert Beatty	2852					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
•							
HE REPLY FILED 21 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	`				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	of be entered s necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: PT0 - 89>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	/Robert Beatty/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2852					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Ito only transfers "assembly conditions" from the ITM to the main controller and not the "correction data to correct the color difference and position difference". It is noted that this "correction data" is the average velocity of the ITM. In response, the examiner notes that he was not modify Ream with the type of data being transferred from Ito since Ream already discusses velocity profiles of new ITM's. Only the concept that it would have been obvious to transfer the particular data for each newly installed ITM from its own memory to the memory of the main controller. The reason for this modification could be simply that it is easier to control the operation of the printer using its own memory rather than constantly accessing the memory of the installed component (ITM). It also would take less time as anyone who has used an external memory such as a floppy disc, CD-ROM, or thumb memory (USB port memory device) can attest.

However, in order to make the record clearer the examiner has obtained the machine translation of ITO and is supplying this to applicant (but is not relying on its content for the purpose of making the previous office action final). In this translation, Ito defines the "assembly conditions" as belt construction material, tension of the ITM, operating time, count actuation, life, and belt revolution period. See paragraphs 10-11,41-42. It is noted that the "belt revolution period" is just the time it takes for the belt to revolve once, i.e. its inverse velocity (period = time/distance traveled while velocity = distance traveled/time).